PARTITION OF GRAPHS WITH CONDITION ON THE CONNECTIVITY AND MINIMUM DEGREE

P. HAJNAL

Received 2 August 1982

C. Thomassen and M. Szegedy proved the existence of a function f(s, t) such that the points of any f(s, t)-connected graph have a decomposition into two non-empty sets such that the subgraphs induced by them are s-connected and t-connected, respectively. We prove, that $f(s, t) \le 4s + 4t - 13$ and examine a similar problem for the minimum degree.

1. Introduction

E. Győri suggested the following problem in the Sixth Hungarian Colloquim on Combinatorics held at Eger, 1981: given integers $s, t \ge 3$, does there exist a number f(s, t) such that every f(s, t)-connected graph V(G) admits a proper partition $\{S, T\}$ of the vertex-set V(G) so that the induced subgraphs G(S) and G(T) are s-connected and t-connected, respectively. Thomassen [1], and independently M. Szegedy established the existence of the function f(s, t). In his proof Thomassen showed the existence of a function g(s, t) (where s, t are natural numbers) such that the vertex-set of any graph G with minimum degree at least g(s, t) has a decomposition $S \cup T$ such that G(S) and G(T) have minimum degree s and t, respectively. Let f(s, t) and g(s, t) be minimal numbers under the above conditions. In his paper Thomassen gave rather weak bounds. We sharpen the estimates of the functions f(s, t) and g(s, t).

2. Notation

Let G be a simple graph, V(G) is the set of points of G, E(G) is the set of edges of $G, S \subseteq V(G)$ and $x \in V(G)$. We use further the following notation. G(S) is the subgraph of G induced by S, e(S) the number of edges of G(S), d(S) the minimum degree in G(S), d(x) the degree of point x, d(x, S) the number of edges (x, y), where $y \in S$. $\{S, T\}$ is a partition of the set V(G) if S and T are non-empty, disjoint subsets of V(G) such that $S \cup T = V(G)$.

96 P. HAJNAL

3. Estimating the function g(s, t)

3.1. Theorem. If $s \ge 4$, then $g(s, t) \le t + 2s - 3$.

Proof. We have to prove that is $d(V(G)) \ge t + 2s - 3$, then there exists a partition $\{S, T\}$ of the set V(G) such that $d(S) \ge s$ and $d(T) \ge t$.

Let S be a vertex-set such that |S| is minimal and (if there are more than one such S) e(S) is maximal under the conditions:

(*)
$$\begin{cases} e(S) > (s-1)|S| - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \\ |S| \ge s. \end{cases}$$

Such an S exists (for example V(G) satisfies condition (*)). $S \neq V(G)$ as for any $x \in V(G)$,

$$d(V(G)\setminus \{x\}) \ge t+2s-4,$$

so if $t \ge 2$, then

$$e(V(G)\setminus\{x\})\geq \frac{t+2s-4}{2}|V(G)\setminus\{x\}|\geq (s-1)|V(G)\setminus\{x\}|.$$

(If t=1, the assertion is trivial.) So $\{S, T=V(G) \setminus S\}$ is a partition of V(G). We shall prove that this is an appropriate partition.

First we remark that $|S| \ge s+1$. Suppose that |S| = s, then from (*) we get

$$e(S) > (s-1)s - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} = \frac{s(s-1)}{2},$$

a contradiction.

Now let x be a point in S with minimum degree in G(S). We claim that $d(x) \ge s$ i.e. $d(S) \ge s$. Assume indirectly that it is not the case. As

$$|S\setminus \{x\}| \ge s$$

$$e(S \setminus \{x\}) > (s-1)|S| - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} - (s-1) = (s-1)|S \setminus \{x\}| - \frac{s(s-1)}{2}$$

This contradicts the minimality of |S|.

On the other hand, if x is the point above, then by the minimality of |S|, (1)

$$e(S)-d(S)=e(S\setminus\{x\})\leq (s-1)|S\setminus\{x\}|-\frac{s(s-1)}{2}=(s-1)(|S|-1)-\frac{s(s-1)}{2}.$$

We have

$$\frac{|S| d(S)}{2} \le e(S) \le (s-1) \left(|S| - 1 - \frac{s}{2} \right) + d(S), \quad d(S) \le (2s-2) \frac{|S| - 1 - \frac{s}{2}}{|S| - 2}.$$

So if $s \ge 3$, then d(S) < 2s - 2, i.e. $d(S) \le 2s - 3$.

Now we show that "few" edges go from any point of $T=V(G)\setminus S$ to S. Let $D=\max_{x\in T}d(x,S)$ and let y be a point of T for which d(y,S)=D. We claim that $D\leq 2s-3$.

There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $d(S) \le 2s - 4$.

Suppose that D>2s-3. Exchange x for y in S, let S' be the new set. e(S')>e(S), |S'|=|S|, so S' satisfies the condition (*). This contradicts the maximality of e(S). This proves that $D \le 2s-3$.

Case 2. d(S) = 2s - 3.

If $D \ge 2s - 1$, then exchanging x for y, a contradiction arises again.

If D=2s-2 and there is an $x \in S$ such that the degree of x in G(S) is 2s-3 and $(x,y) \notin E(G)$, then the above exchange leads to contradiction again. If D=2s-2 and there exists no x of this kind, then in S only the neighbours of y may have degree 2s-3. So

$$e(S) \ge \frac{(2s-2)(2s-3)+[|S|-(2s-2)](2s-2)}{2} = (s-1)(|S|-1).$$

But from (1)

$$e(S) \le (s-1)(|S|-1) - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} + d(S) = (s-1)(|S|-1) - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} + 2s - 3.$$

So we have

$$2s - 3 - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \ge 0,$$

$$0 \ge s^2 - 5s + 6 = (s-2)(s-3).$$

This contradicts $s \ge 4$.

This proves that $D \le 2s - 3$, so $d(T) \ge t$.

In fact we proved the following assertion:

3.2. Theorem. Let G be a graph with minimum degree at least 2s-1 ($s \ge 4$) and let S be a vertex-set such that |S| is minimal and e(S) maximal under condition (*). Then $S, V(G) \setminus S$ are non-empty sets and in S the degree of any point is at least S and from any point in S at most S and S are non-empty S at most S and S and S are non-empty S at most S are non-empty S and S are non-empty S at most S and S are non-empty S and S are non-empty S at most S and S are non-empty S and S are non-empty S at most S and S are non-empty S and S are non-empty S and non-empty S and S are non-empty S and S are non-empty S and S and S are non-empty S and non-empty S and S are non-empty S at most S and S are non-empty S are non-empty S and S

Remarks. 1. Exchanging s for t we obtain $g(s, t) \le 2t + s - 3$. So if $\min(s, t) \ge 4$, then $g(s, t) \le s + t - 3 + \min(s, t)$.

- 2. It can easily be seen from the proof that if s=3, then $g(s, t) \le t+4$ and if s=2, then $g(s, t) \le t+3$.
- 3. The complete graph K_{s+t+1} shows that $g(s,t) \ge s+t+1$. To sum up our results: If $\min(s,t) \le 4$, then g(s,t) = s+t+1, if $\min(s,t) \ge 5$, then $s+t+1 \le g(s,t) \le s+t+1+(\min(s,t)-4)$.
- **4.** In the proof we used only the following conditions on the set S: (1) S satisfies (*),

98 P. HAJNAL

- (2) if $x \in S$, then $S \setminus \{x\}$ does not satisfy (*),
- (3) if $x \in S$ and $y \notin S$, then $e(S \setminus \{x\} \cup \{y\}) \le e(S)$.

Based on this observation we give an algorithm which has a graph G with minimum degree at least 2s+t-3 as input and a partition $\{S, T\}$ as output.

Step 0. Let S = V(G).

Step 1. If there exists an $x \in S$ such that $S \setminus \{x\}$ satisfies (*), then let $S = S \setminus \{x\}$ and start the algorithm again with the new S.

If there is no appropriate x, go to step 2.

Step 2. If there is an $x \in S$ and $y \notin S$ such that $e(S \setminus \{x\} \cup \{y\}) > e(S)$, then let $S = S \setminus \{x\} \cup \{y\}$ and start the algorithm again.

If there is no (x, y) pair as above, then from the above remark $\{S, V(G) \setminus S\}$ is a valid partition.

We do the first type of change at most n times and the second type at most $n^2/2$ times. (|V(G)|=n) In the first type of change n inspections are needed to check whether there exists such a vertex and in the second type of change $n^2/4$ inspections are needed. Consequently the whole algorithm is finished in no more than $O(n^5)$ steps.

4. Estimating the function f(s, t)

For the examination of the connectivity we use the following result of Mader [2]:

4.1. Theorem. (Mader). If $|V(G)| \ge 2n-1$ $(n \ge 2, natural number)$ and e(G) > (2n-3)(|V(G)|-(n-1)) for a graph G, then G has an n-connected induced subgraph.

In fact the proof of this theorem gives the follows result:

4.2. Theorem. (Mader) If G is a graph as above, $V_0 \subseteq V(G)$ and $|V_0|$ is minimal such that $|V_0| \ge 2n-2$ and

$$e(V_0) > (2n-3)(|V_0|-n+1) = (2n-3)|V_0| - \frac{(2n-2)(2n-3)}{2},$$

then G_0 induces an n-connected subgraph.

Using this result, we prove the following theorem:

4.3. Theorem. If $s \ge 3$, $t \ge 2$ and G is an (s+t-1)-connected graph with $d(V(G)) \ge 24s+4t-13$, there exists a partition $\{S,T\}$ of V(G) such that G(S) and G(T) are s-connected and t-connected, respectively.

Proof. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$|S| \geq 2s-2$$

$$e(S) > (2s-3)|S| - \frac{(2s-2)(2s-3)}{2}$$

and |S| be minimal and (if there are more than one such S) e(S) maximal. $\{S, T=V(G)\setminus S\}$ is a partition of V(G) which can be proved in the same way as the proof of preceding theorem.

By Theorem 4.2 S induces an s-connected subgraph.

On the other hand S is the same set as in Theorem 3.1, only 2s-2 stands instead of s. So by Corollary 3.2, $d(v, S) \le 2(2s-2) - 3 = 4s - 7$ for $v \in T$. Consequently, $d(T) \ge 4t - 6$. So

$$|T| \ge 2t-2$$
, and

$$e(T) \ge \frac{(4t-6)|T|}{2} > (2t-3)[|T|-(t-1)].$$

Then by Mader's theorem 4.2 there exists a subset $T_0 \subseteq T$ with $G(T_0)$ t-connected. After this, we can follow the proof of Thomassen. Let S and T be nonempty, disjoint sets such that G(S) and G(T) are s-connected and t-connected, respectively, and $|S \cup T|$ is maximal. (S and T_0 show that such sets exist.)

We shall prove that $S \cup T = V(G)$. Suppose indirectly that $A = V(G) \setminus V(G)$ $\backslash (S \cup T) \neq \emptyset$. By $|(S \cup A) \cup T| > |S \cup T|$ and the maximality of $|S \cup T|$, $S \cup A$ and T is not a legal partition so $G(S \cup A)$ is not an s-connected graph. Consequently $G(S \cup A)$ has a cut-set B such that $|B| \le s-1$. Let G_1 be a component of $G((S \cup A) \setminus B)$ in A and C its vertex-set. $|(T \cup C) \cup S| > |S \cup T|$, so $T \cup C$, Sis not a good pair $G(T \cup C)$ has a cut-set D such that $|D| \le t-1$.

It is easy to verify that $B \cup D$ is a cut-set of G. But $|B \cup D| \le s + t - 2$, contradicting the s+t-1-connectivity of G.

Remarks. 1. If G is (4s+4t-13)-connected, then G satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.3. From this we obtain that if $s \ge 3$, $t \ge 2$, then $f(s, t) \le 4s + 4t - 13$. 2. The graph K_{s+t+1} shows that $f(s,t) \ge s+t+1$.

References

- [1] C. THOMASSEN, Graph decomposition with constraints on the connectivity and minimum degree, J. Graph Theory, to appear.
- [2] W. MADER, Existenz n-fach zusammenhängender Teilgraphen in Graphen genügend grossen Kantendichte, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg Univ. 37 (1972), 86-97.
- [3] M. Szegedy, unpublished.

Péter Hajnal

Bolyai Institute of A. József University Aradi vértanúk t. 1, Szeged, Hungary, H-6720